

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

January 4, 2010

Emma Pierce Connecticut Democratic State Central Committee 330 Main St., 3rd Floor Hartford, CT 06106

Re: ADR 533 (RR 09L-51)

Connecticut Democratic State Central Committee and Emma Pierce, Treasurer

Dear Ms. Pierce:

The Reports Analysis Division of the Federal Election Commission (FEC or Commission) determined that matters arising from its recent review of reports filed by the Connecticut Democratic State Central Committee warranted further examination for possible violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. The Commission, thereafter, reviewed the file and assigned this matter to the FEC's Alternative Dispute Resolution Office (ADR Office) for processing.

The FEC established the ADR Program to provide an informal means for resolving matters that come before the Commission and to facilitate negotiations directly with the Connecticut Democratic State Central Committee and Emma Pierce, Treasurer (Respondents or the Committee). The ADR Program provides Respondents with an opportunity to negotiate, and if necessary, mediate settlement of a matter that is mutually agreeable. The negotiations occur prior to any Commission consideration of whether there is reason to believe a violation has occurred. If negotiations and/or mediation are successful, the resulting settlement would conclude the matter.

The Commission, in referring the matter to the ADR Office, determined that the case is eligible for processing in the ADR program. If Respondents decide to participate in the Program, i.e., negotiation and/or mediation, you must: 1) indicate in writing a willingness to have your case submitted to the ADR process; 2) agree to participate in the bilateral negotiations and if necessary, mediation; and 3) waive the statute of limitations while the matter is being processed under the FEC's ADR program.

The issues referred to the ADRO, and the focus of our subsequent negotiations are summarized as follows:

The Reports Analysis Division referred the Connecticut Democratic State Central Committee and Emma Pierce, Treasurer (Respondents or the Committee) for, in part 1 of the referral, a series of reporting errors and other FECA violations during the 2008 election cycle. A political committee may be referred if, after an internal review of reports filed by the committee, the Commission determines the reports do not meet the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the FECA. The Committee's errors included, among other things: mathematical discrepancies; a failure to provide supporting schedules; and allocation issues between Federal and non-federal activity.

Part 2 of the referral was based on a failure to disclose all financial activity on the Committee's 2008 30 Day Post-General Report. Respondents filed a series of amended 2008 30 Day Post-General Reports resulting in increased receipts of \$126,491.83.

If after reviewing this letter and the enclosed brochure, which describes the ADR program, Respondent(s) would like this case selected for ADR processing, you need to affirmatively indicate that on the enclosed Commitment to Submit Matter to ADR form. Failure to respond affirmatively within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of this letter will be taken as a notice of disinterest in the program and your case will be dropped from further consideration for ADR. In that event, your case will be sent to the FEC's Office of General Counsel for further processing, and the likelihood that the Committee will be audited during the next election cycle will increase.

This matter has been designated as ADR 533. Please refer to this number in future correspondence with the FEC. If you have questions about the ADR Program, please contact the ADRO at my direct dial as indicated below

Lynn Traser, Director

Alternative Dispute Resolution Office

202-694-1665

Sincerely,

**Enclosures:** 

**Brochure** 

Commitment to Submit Matter to ADR and Designation of Representative/Counsel